BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF PUGET SOUND MANUFACTURING COMPANY, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 199 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, Vs. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 5 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, Respondent. 8 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$250.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, hearing officer) as a formal hearing in the law offices of Burkey, Marsico, Rovai & McGoffin in Tacoma at 1:30 p.m., December 15, 1972.

Appellant was represented by its Secretary, Alan Warnick.

Respondent appeared through its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. Eugene E.

Barker, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were offered and

4 E / 4 6054 V.C.B.R.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

admitted.

On the basis of testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board prepared Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order which were submitted to the appellant and respondent on March 14, 1973. No objections or exceptions to the Proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order having been received, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes and enters the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

During the afternoon of August 16, 1972, black smoke ranging from No. 2% to No. 3% on the Ringelmann scale was emitted for 14 minutes from the boiler stack of Puget Sound Manufacturing Company, 1123 St. Paul Avenue, Tacoma, Pierce County.

II.

Notice of Violation No. 5532 was served on appellant as a result of this incident. Appellant had been the subject of a previous Notice of Violation (No. 3925) on February 4, 1972. No civil penalty was issued for Notice of Violation 3925, but Notice of Civil Penalty 425, in the sum of \$250.00, which is the subject of this appeal, was served on appellant in connection with Notice of Violation 5532.

IV.

A substitute, replacing the regular fireman who was on vacation, was at the controls of the boiler on August 16, 1972. The substitute was not familiar in all details with the operation of the boiler and burned materials which caused the black smoke. Subsequently, the substitute, and other employees, were instructed by appellant on

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

precautions to take to avoid a repetition of the incident. 2 From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes 3 to these CONCLUSIONS 4 5 I. 6 Appellant was in violation of Section 9.03(a) of respondent's 7 Regulation I on August 16, 1972. The incident was caused by an inexperienced substitute fireman who subsequently was instructed by 8 appellant on procedures to take to avoid future violations. 9 10 II. In view of the circumstances and the apparent desire of appellant 11 12 to abide by respondent's regulations, Notice of Civil Penalty 425, 13 in the maximum amount of \$250.00, appears to be excessive. Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this 14 15 ORDER 16 Notice of Violation 5532 is sustained, but Notice of Civil Penalty 17 425 is remanded to respondent for selection of a more appropriate 18 amount not to exceed one-half of the original civil penalty. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 19 20 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 21 22 23 Mr. W. A. Gissberg, the other member of this Board, not having 24 participated in the hearing on this matter has declined to sign 25this Order. 26 FINDINGS OF FACT. 3 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER